

STRUCTURE OF THE EXTENDED SCHRÖDINGER-VIRASORO LIE ALGEBRA $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}^*$

SHOULAN GAO, CUIPO JIANG[†], AND YUFENG PEI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the derivations, the central extensions and the automorphism group of the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$, introduced by J. Unterberger [25] in the context of two-dimensional conformal field theory and statistical physics. Moreover, we show that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is an infinite-dimensional complete Lie algebra and the universal central extension of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ in the category of Leibniz algebras is the same as that in the category of Lie algebras.

1. Introduction

The Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra \mathfrak{sv} , originally introduced by M. Henkel in [8] during his study on the invariance of the free Schrödinger equation, is a vector space over the complex field \mathbb{C} with a basis $\{L_n, M_n, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the Lie brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (n - m)L_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, M_n] = nM_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (n + \frac{1-m}{2})Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}, \\ [M_m, M_n] &= 0, \quad [Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (m - n)M_{m+n+1}, \quad [M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that \mathfrak{sv} is a semi-direct product of the centerless Virasoro algebra (Witt algebra) $\mathfrak{Vir}_0 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_n$, which can be regarded as the Lie algebra that consists of derivations on the Laurent polynomial ring [14], and the two-step nilpotent infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}M_m$, which contains the Schrödinger Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} spanned by $\{L_{-1}, L_0, L_1, Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{\frac{1}{2}}, M_0\}$. Clearly \mathfrak{s} is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and the three-dimensional nilpotent Heisenberg Lie algebra $\langle Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{\frac{1}{2}}, M_0 \rangle$. The structure and representation theory of \mathfrak{sv} have been extensively studied by C. Roger and J. Unterberger. We refer the reader to [22] for more details. Recently, in order to investigate vertex representations of \mathfrak{sv} , J. Unterberger [25] introduced a class of new infinite-dimensional Lie algebras $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ called the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra (see section 2), which can be viewed as an extension of \mathfrak{sv} by a conformal current with conformal weight 1.

Keywords: Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, central extension, derivation, automorphism.

* Supported in part by China NSF grant 10571119.

[†] Corresponding author: cpjiang@sjtu.edu.cn .

In this paper, we give a complete description of the derivations, the central extensions and the automorphisms for the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$. The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, we show that the center of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is zero and all derivations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ are inner derivations, i.e., $H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) = 0$, which implies that $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is a complete Lie algebra. Recall that a Lie algebra is called complete if its center is zero and all derivations are inner, which was originally introduced by N. Jacobson in [10]. Over the past decades, much progress has been obtained on the theory of complete Lie algebras (see for example [13, 26, 20]). Note that since the center of \mathfrak{sv} is non-zero and $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{sv}, \mathfrak{sv}) = 3$, \mathfrak{sv} is not a complete Lie algebra.

In section 3, we determine the universal central extension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$. The universal covering algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$ contains the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro Lie algebra, which plays an important role in the representation theory of toroidal Lie algebras [2, 3, 11, 24], as a subalgebra. Furthermore, in section 4, we show that there is no non-zero symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$, which implies the universal central extension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ in the category of Leibniz algebras is the same as that in the category of Lie algebras [9].

Finally in section 5, we give the automorphism groups of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ and its universal covering algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$, which are isomorphic.

Throughout the paper, we denote by \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{C}^* the set of integers and the set of non-zero complex numbers respectively, and all the vector spaces are assumed over the complex field \mathbb{C} .

2. The Derivation Algebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$

Definition 2.1. *The extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is a vector space spanned by a basis $\{L_n, M_n, N_n, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with the following brackets*

$$[L_m, L_n] = (n-m)L_{m+n}, \quad [M_m, M_n] = 0, \quad [N_m, N_n] = 0, \quad [Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (m-n)M_{m+n+1},$$

$$[L_m, M_n] = nM_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, N_n] = nN_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (n + \frac{1-m}{2})Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$[N_m, M_n] = 2M_{m+n}, \quad [N_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad [M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = 0,$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is clear that $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is a perfect Lie algebra, i.e., $[\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}] = \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$, which is finitely generated with a set of generators $\{L_{-2}, L_{-1}, L_1, L_2, N_1, Y_{\frac{1}{2}}\}$.

Define a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ by

$$\deg(L_n) = n, \quad \deg(M_n) = n, \quad \deg(N_n) = n, \quad \deg(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = n + \frac{1}{2},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{n}{2}} = \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_n \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_n = \text{span}\{L_n, M_n, N_n\}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \text{span}\{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.2. ([5]) Let G be a commutative group, $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathfrak{g}_g$ a G -graded Lie algebra. A \mathfrak{g} -module V is called G -graded, if

$$V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g, \quad \mathfrak{g}_g V_h \subseteq V_{g+h}, \quad \forall g, h \in G.$$

Definition 2.3. ([5]) Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and V a \mathfrak{g} -module. A linear map $D : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow V$ is called a derivation, if for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$D[x, y] = x.D(y) - y.D(x).$$

If there exists some $v \in V$ such that $D : x \mapsto x.v$, then D is called an inner derivation.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, V a module of \mathfrak{g} . Denote by $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ the vector space of all derivations, $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ the vector space of all inner derivations. Set

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, V) / \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g}, V).$$

Denote by $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ the derivation algebra of \mathfrak{g} , $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{g})$ the vector space of all inner derivations of \mathfrak{g} . We will prove that all the derivations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ are inner derivations.

By Proposition 1.1 in [5], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4.

$$\text{Der}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Der}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})_{\frac{n}{2}},$$

where $\text{Der}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})_{\frac{n}{2}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{m}{2}}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{m+n}{2}}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

□

Lemma 2.5. $H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{n}{2}}) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$

Proof. We have to prove

$$H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_n) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(1) For $m \neq 0$, let $\varphi : \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_m$ be a derivation. Assume that

$$\varphi(L_0) = a_1 L_m + b_1 M_m + c_1 N_m,$$

$$\varphi(M_0) = a_2 L_m + b_2 M_m + c_2 N_m,$$

$$\varphi(N_0) = a_3 L_m + b_3 M_m + c_3 N_m,$$

where $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, 3$. Since

$$\varphi[L_0, M_0] = [\varphi(L_0), M_0] + [L_0, \varphi(M_0)],$$

we have

$$a_2 m L_m + (b_2 m + 2c_1) M_m + c_2 m N_m = 0.$$

So $a_2 = 0, c_1 = -\frac{1}{2}b_2m, c_2 = 0$ and $\varphi(M_0) = b_2M_m$. Since

$$\varphi[L_0, N_0] = [\varphi(L_0), N_0] + [L_0, \varphi(N_0)],$$

we have

$$a_3mL_m + (b_3m - 2b_1)M_m + c_3mN_m = 0.$$

Then $a_3 = 0, b_1 = \frac{1}{2}b_3m, c_3 = 0$ and $\varphi(N_0) = b_3M_m$. Therefore, we get

$$\varphi(L_0) = a_1L_m + \frac{1}{2}b_3mM_m - \frac{1}{2}b_2mN_m, \quad \varphi(M_0) = b_2M_m, \quad \varphi(N_0) = b_3M_m.$$

Let $X_m = \frac{a_1}{m}L_m + \frac{1}{2}b_3M_m - \frac{1}{2}b_2N_m$, we have

$$\varphi(L_0) = [L_0, X_m], \quad \varphi(M_0) = [M_0, X_m], \quad \varphi(N_0) = [N_0, X_m].$$

Then $\varphi \in \text{Inn}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_m)$. Therefore,

$$H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_m) = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

(2) For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\varphi : \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$ be a derivation. Assume that

$$\varphi(L_0) = aY_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi(M_0) = bY_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi(N_0) = cY_{m+\frac{1}{2}},$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Because $\varphi[L_0, M_0] = [\varphi(L_0), M_0] + [L_0, \varphi(M_0)]$, we have

$$0 = [L_0, \varphi(M_0)] = [L_0, bY_{m+\frac{1}{2}}] = b(m + \frac{1}{2})Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So $b = 0$ and $\varphi(M_0) = 0$. Since $\varphi[L_0, N_0] = [\varphi(L_0), N_0] + [L_0, \varphi(N_0)]$, we have

$$0 = [aY_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, N_0] + [L_0, cY_{m+\frac{1}{2}}] = (c(m + \frac{1}{2}) - a)Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then $a = c(m + \frac{1}{2})$. Hence, we get

$$\varphi(L_0) = c(m + \frac{1}{2})Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varphi(M_0) = 0, \quad \varphi(N_0) = cY_{m+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Letting $X_{m+\frac{1}{2}} = cY_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$\varphi(L_0) = [L_0, X_{m+\frac{1}{2}}], \quad \varphi(M_0) = [M_0, X_{m+\frac{1}{2}}], \quad \varphi(N_0) = [N_0, X_{m+\frac{1}{2}}].$$

Then $\varphi \in \text{Inn}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{m+\frac{1}{2}})$. Therefore,

$$H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

□

Lemma 2.6. $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{m}{2}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{n}{2}}) = 0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq n$.

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{m}{2}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{n}{2}})$, where $m \neq n$. Then for any $E_0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0, E_{\frac{m}{2}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{m}{2}}$, we have

$$f([E_0, E_{\frac{m}{2}}]) = [E_0, f(E_{\frac{m}{2}})].$$

Then $f([L_0, E_{\frac{m}{2}}]) = [L_0, f(E_{\frac{m}{2}})]$, i.e.,

$$\frac{m}{2}f(E_{\frac{m}{2}}) = [L_0, f(E_{\frac{m}{2}})] = \frac{n}{2}f(E_{\frac{m}{2}}).$$

So we have $f(E_{\frac{m}{2}}) = 0$ for all $m \neq n$. Therefore, we have $f = 0$. \square

By Lemma 2.5-2.6 and Proposition 1.2 in [5], we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.7. $\text{Der}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) = \text{Der}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})_0 + \text{Inn}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$. \square

Lemma 2.8. For any $D \in \text{Der}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})_0$, there exist some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$D = \text{ad}(aL_0 - \frac{c}{2}M_0 + (b - \frac{a}{2})N_0).$$

Therefore, $\text{Der}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})_0 \subseteq \text{Inn}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$.

Proof. For any $D \in \text{Der}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})_0$, assume that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(L_m) &= a_{11}^m L_m + a_{12}^m M_m + a_{13}^m N_m, \\ D(M_m) &= a_{21}^m L_m + a_{22}^m M_m + a_{23}^m N_m, \\ D(N_m) &= a_{31}^m L_m + a_{32}^m M_m + a_{33}^m N_m, \\ D(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) &= b^{m+\frac{1}{2}} Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{ij}^m, b^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. For any $E_{\frac{m}{2}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{m}{2}}, E_{\frac{n}{2}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{n}{2}}$, we have

$$D[E_{\frac{m}{2}}, E_{\frac{n}{2}}] = [D(E_{\frac{m}{2}}), E_{\frac{n}{2}}] + [E_{\frac{m}{2}}, D(E_{\frac{n}{2}})].$$

In particular, $D[L_0, E_{\frac{m}{2}}] = [D(L_0), E_{\frac{m}{2}}] + [L_0, D(E_{\frac{m}{2}})]$. Then

$$\frac{m}{2}D(E_{\frac{m}{2}}) = [D(L_0), E_{\frac{m}{2}}] + \frac{m}{2}D(E_{\frac{m}{2}}).$$

So

$$[D(L_0), E_{\frac{m}{2}}] = 0.$$

Since $[D(L_0), L_1] = 0, [D(L_0), M_0] = 0$ and $[D(L_0), N_0] = 0$, we can deduce that

$$a_{11}^0 = a_{12}^0 = a_{13}^0 = 0.$$

So $D(L_0) = 0$. Because $D[M_0, L_m] = [D(M_0), L_m] + [M_0, D(L_m)]$, we have

$$a_{21}^0 m L_m - 2a_{13}^m M_m = 0.$$

Then

$$a_{21}^0 = 0, a_{13}^m = 0, D(M_0) = a_{22}^0 M_0 + a_{23}^0 N_0.$$

By the fact that $D[M_0, N_m] = [D(M_0), N_m] + [M_0, D(N_m)]$, we have

$$a_{21}^m L_m + a_{22}^m M_m + a_{23}^m N_m = (a_{22}^0 + a_{33}^m)M_m.$$

Therefore,

$$a_{21}^m = 0, \quad a_{22}^m = a_{22}^0 + a_{33}^m, \quad a_{23}^m = 0.$$

Then

$$a_{33}^0 = 0, \quad D(M_m) = a_{22}^m M_m = (a_{22}^0 + a_{33}^m) M_m.$$

Since $D[N_0, L_m] = [D(N_0), L_m] + [N_0, D(L_m)]$, we have

$$a_{31}^0 m L_m + 2a_{12}^m M_m = 0.$$

Then $a_{31}^0 m = 0, 2a_{12}^m = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore,

$$a_{31}^0 = 0, \quad a_{12}^m = 0, \quad D(N_0) = a_{32}^0 M_0.$$

According to $D[N_m, N_n] = [D(N_m), N_n] + [N_m, D(N_n)]$, we obtain

$$(a_{31}^m n - a_{31}^n m) N_{m+n} + 2(a_{32}^n - a_{32}^m) M_{m+n} = 0.$$

Then $a_{31}^m n = a_{31}^n m, a_{32}^n = a_{32}^m$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, we have

$$a_{31}^m = a_{31}^1 m, \quad a_{32}^m = a_{32}^0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By the following two relations

$$\begin{aligned} D[Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] &= [D(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}), Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] + [Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, D(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}})], \\ D[L_m, L_n] &= [D(L_m), L_n] + [L_m, D(L_n)], \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$a_{22}^{m+n+1} = b^{m+\frac{1}{2}} + b^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad m \neq n. \quad (2.1)$$

$$a_{11}^{m+n} = a_{11}^m + a_{11}^n, \quad m \neq n. \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to see that $a_{11}^{-m} = -a_{11}^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $n = 1$ in (2.2), then

$$a_{11}^{m+1} = a_{11}^m + a_{11}^1, \quad m \neq 1.$$

By induction on $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $m \geq 3$, we have

$$a_{11}^m = a_{11}^2 + (m-2)a_{11}^1, \quad m \geq 3.$$

Let $m = 4, n = -2$ in (2.2), then we have $a_{11}^2 = 2a_{11}^1$. Therefore,

$$a_{11}^m = m a_{11}^1, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $D[L_m, M_n] = [D(L_m), M_n] + [L_m, D(M_n)]$ and

$$D[L_m, N_n] = [D(L_m), N_n] + [L_m, D(N_n)],$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{22}^{m+n} &= m a_{11}^1 + a_{22}^n, \quad n \neq 0, \\ a_{31}^1(m+n) &= a_{31}^1(n-m), \quad a_{33}^{m+n} = m a_{11}^1 + a_{33}^n, \quad n \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Then we can deduce that

$$a_{31}^1 = 0, \quad a_{33}^{m+1} = m a_{11}^1 + a_{33}^1.$$

Note $a_{33}^0 = 0$, then $a_{11}^1 = a_{33}^1$. Therefore,

$$a_{33}^m = ma_{11}^1, \quad a_{22}^m = a_{22}^0 + ma_{11}^1, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because $D[L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = [D(L_m), Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] + [L_m, D(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}})]$, we have

$$b^{m+n+\frac{1}{2}} = ma_{11}^1 + b^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n + \frac{1-m}{2} \neq 0.$$

Let $m = 1$, then

$$b^{n+1+\frac{1}{2}} = a_{11}^1 + b^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n \neq 0.$$

By (2.1), we get

$$a_{22}^0 + (m+n+1)a_{11}^1 = b^{m+\frac{1}{2}} + b^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad m \neq n. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $m = 0, n = 1$ in (2.4), then we have

$$a_{22}^0 + a_{11}^1 = 2b^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $n = 0$ in (2.4), then we obtain $b^{m+\frac{1}{2}} = b^{\frac{1}{2}} + ma_{11}^1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $a_{11}^1 = a, b^{\frac{1}{2}} = b, a_{32}^0 = c$, then $a_{22}^0 = 2b - a$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D(L_m) &= maL_m, & D(M_m) &= (2b - a + ma)M_m, \\ D(N_m) &= cM_m + maN_m, & D(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) &= (b + ma)Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we can deduce that

$$D = ad(aL_0 - \frac{c}{2}M_0 + (b - \frac{a}{2})N_0).$$

□

From the above lemmas, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. $Der(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) = Inn(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$, i.e., $H^1(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) = 0$.

Lemma 2.10. $C(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) = \{0\}$, where $C(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$ is the center of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$.

Proof. For any $E_{\frac{n}{2}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{n}{2}}$, we have

$$[L_0, E_{\frac{n}{2}}] = \frac{n}{2}E_{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

It forces $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_0$, for any $x \in C(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$, since $[L_0, x] = 0$. Let $x = aL_0 + bN_0 + cM_0$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$[x, L_1] = [aL_0 + bN_0 + cM_0, L_1] = [aL_0, L_1] = aL_1 = 0.$$

So $a = 0$. By the following relations,

$$[x, Y_{\frac{1}{2}}] = [bN_0 + cM_0, Y_{\frac{1}{2}}] = [bN_0, Y_{\frac{1}{2}}] = bY_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0,$$

$$[x, N_0] = [cM_0, N_0] = -2cM_0 = 0,$$

we have $b = 0$ and $c = 0$. Therefore, $x = 0$. □

By Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 2.11. $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is an infinite-dimensional complete Lie algebra.

3. The Universal Central Extension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$

In this section, we discuss the structure of the universal central extension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$. Let us first recall some basic concepts. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. A bilinear function $\psi : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a 2-cocycle on \mathfrak{g} if for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= -\psi(y, x), \\ \psi([x, y], z) + \psi([y, z], x) + \psi([z, x], y) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

For any linear function $f : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, one can define a 2-cocycle ψ_f as follows

$$\psi_f(x, y) = f([x, y]), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Such a 2-cocycle is called a 2-coboundary on \mathfrak{g} . Denote by $C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ the vector space of 2-cocycles on \mathfrak{g} , $B^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ the vector space of 2-coboundaries on \mathfrak{g} . Then the quotient space $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}) = C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})/B^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C})$ is called the second cohomology group of \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem 3.1. $\dim H^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}, \mathbb{C}) = 3$.

Proof. Let $\varphi : \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a 2-cocycle on $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$. Let $f : \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a linear function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(L_0) &= -\frac{1}{2}\varphi(L_1, L_{-1}), \quad f(L_m) = \frac{1}{m}\varphi(L_0, L_m), \quad m \neq 0, \\ f(M_0) &= -\varphi(L_1, M_{-1}), \quad f(M_m) = \frac{1}{m}\varphi(L_0, M_m), \quad m \neq 0, \\ f(N_0) &= -\varphi(L_1, N_{-1}), \quad f(N_m) = \frac{1}{m}\varphi(L_0, N_m), \quad m \neq 0, \\ f(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(L_0, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi - \varphi_f$, where φ_f is the 2-coboundary induced by f , then

$$\bar{\varphi}(x, y) = \varphi(x, y) - f([x, y]), \quad \forall x, y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}.$$

By the known result on the central extension of the classical Witt algebra (see [1] or [15]), we have

$$\bar{\varphi}(L_m, L_n) = \alpha \delta_{m+n,0}(m^3 - n^3), \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By the fact that $\varphi([L_0, L_m], M_n) + \varphi([L_m, M_n], L_0) + \varphi([M_n, L_0], L_m) = 0$, we get

$$(m+n)\varphi(L_m, M_n) = n\varphi(L_0, M_{m+n}).$$

So

$$\varphi(L_m, M_n) = \frac{n}{m+n}\varphi(L_0, M_{m+n}), \quad m+n \neq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Then it is easy to deduce that

$$\bar{\varphi}(L_m, M_n) = 0, \quad m+n \neq 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$\overline{\varphi}(L_m, M_{-m}) = \varphi(L_m, M_{-m}) + mf(M_0) = \varphi(L_m, M_{-m}) - m\varphi(L_1, M_{-1}). \quad (3.3)$$

Similarly, denote $\overline{\varphi}(L_m, N_{-m}) = c(m)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$\overline{\varphi}(L_m, N_n) = \delta_{m+n,0}c(m), \quad \overline{\varphi}(L_m, N_{-m}) = \varphi(L_m, N_{-m}) - m\varphi(L_1, N_{-1}). \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.1), $\varphi([L_0, L_m], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi([L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}], L_0) + \varphi([Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, L_0], L_m) = 0$, so

$$(m+n+\frac{1}{2})\varphi(L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = (n+\frac{1-m}{2})\varphi(L_0, Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$\varphi(L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{n+\frac{1-m}{2}}{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(L_0, Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\overline{\varphi}(L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From the relation that

$$\varphi([N_0, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}], Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi([Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}], N_0) + \varphi([Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}, N_0], Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

we have

$$\varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}) = (m+\frac{1}{2})\varphi(N_0, M_0), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.1), $\varphi([L_m, Y_{p+\frac{1}{2}}], Y_{q+\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi([Y_{p+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{q+\frac{1}{2}}], L_m) + \varphi([Y_{q+\frac{1}{2}}, L_m], Y_{p+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$, then

$$(p+\frac{1-m}{2})\varphi(Y_{m+p+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{q+\frac{1}{2}}) + (p-q)\varphi(M_{p+q+1}, L_m) - (q+\frac{1-m}{2})\varphi(Y_{m+q+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{p+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0,$$

for all $m, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $m = -p - q - 1$, then for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(p+1+\frac{p+q}{2})\varphi(Y_{-q-\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{q+\frac{1}{2}}) + (p-q)\varphi(M_{p+q+1}, L_{-p-q-1}) - (q+1+\frac{p+q}{2})\varphi(Y_{-p-\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{p+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.5), we get

$$\varphi(L_{-p-q-1}, M_{p+q+1}) = \frac{p+q+1}{2}\varphi(N_0, M_0), \quad p \neq q. \quad (3.7)$$

Letting $p = -2, q = 0$ in (3.7), we have

$$\varphi(L_1, M_{-1}) = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi(N_0, M_0) = -\varphi(Y_{\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (3.8)$$

It follows from (3.5) and (3.7) that

$$\varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}) = -(2m+1)\varphi(L_1, M_{-1}), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\varphi(L_m, M_{-m}) = -m\varphi(Y_{\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}) = m\varphi(L_1, M_{-1}), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.3) and (3.10), we have $\overline{\varphi}(L_m, M_{-m}) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore,

$$\overline{\varphi}(L_m, M_n) = 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

According to (3.1), $\varphi([L_m, L_n], N_{-m-n}) + \varphi([L_n, N_{-m-n}], L_m) + \varphi([N_{-m-n}, L_m], L_n) = 0$, then we have

$$(n-m)\varphi(L_{m+n}, N_{-m-n}) - (m+n)\varphi(N_{-m}, L_m) + (m+n)\varphi(N_{-n}, L_n) = 0.$$

By (3.4), we get

$$(n-m)c(m+n) + (m+n)c(m) = (m+n)c(n). \quad (3.11)$$

Let $n = 1$ in (3.11) and note $c(0) = c(1) = 0$, then we obtain

$$(m-1)c(m+1) = (m+1)c(m). \quad (3.12)$$

Let $n = 1 - m$ in (3.11), then

$$c(m) = c(1-m), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By induction on m , we deduce that $c(-1) = c(2)$ determines all $c(m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, $c(m) = m^2 - m$ is a solution of equation (3.12). So

$$c(m) = \beta(m^2 - m), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{C},$$

is the general solution of equation (3.12). Therefore,

$$\overline{\varphi}(L_m, N_n) = \delta_{m+n,0}\beta(m^2 - m), \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.13)$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\varphi([M_p, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi([Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}], M_p) + \varphi([Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, M_p], Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

Then $(m-n)\varphi(M_{m+n+1}, M_p) = 0$. Let $m+n+1 = q$, then we have $(2m+1-q)\varphi(M_q, M_p) = 0$. This means that

$$\varphi(M_p, M_q) = 0, \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\overline{\varphi}(M_m, M_n) = \varphi(M_m, M_n) = 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.14)$$

By (3.1), $\varphi([L_0, M_m], N_n) + \varphi([M_m, N_n], L_0) + \varphi([N_n, L_0], M_m) = 0$, we have

$$(m+n)\varphi(M_m, N_n) = -2\varphi(L_0, M_{m+n}). \quad (3.15)$$

Then for $m+n \neq 0$, we have

$$\overline{\varphi}(M_m, N_n) = \varphi(M_m, N_n) + \frac{2}{m+n}\varphi(L_0, M_{m+n}) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\overline{\varphi}(M_m, N_{-m}) = \varphi(M_m, N_{-m}) + 2f(M_0) = \varphi(M_m, N_{-m}) - 2\varphi(L_1, M_{-1}).$$

By (3.1), $\varphi([N_p, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi([Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}], N_p) + \varphi([Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, N_p], Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$, we have

$$\varphi(Y_{p+m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + (m-n)\varphi(M_{m+n+1}, N_p) - \varphi(Y_{p+n+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

Let $n = -m - p - 1$, then we have

$$\varphi(Y_{p+m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-p-m-\frac{1}{2}}) + (2m+p+1)\varphi(M_{-p}, N_p) - \varphi(Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

By (3.9), we get

$$\varphi(M_{-p}, N_p) = 2\varphi(L_1, M_{-1}), \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\varphi}(M_m, N_n) = 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.16)$$

By (3.1), $\varphi([L_0, M_m], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi([M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}], L_0) + \varphi([Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, L_0], M_m) = 0$, we have

$$(m + n + \frac{1}{2})\varphi(M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0.$$

So $\varphi(M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$\overline{\varphi}(M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \varphi(M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - f([M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}]) = 0.$$

By (3.1), $\varphi([L_0, N_p], N_q) + \varphi([N_p, N_q], L_0) + \varphi([N_q, L_0], N_p) = 0$, we have

$$(p + q)\varphi(N_p, N_q) = 0.$$

So $\overline{\varphi}(N_p, N_q) = \varphi(N_p, N_q) = \delta_{p+q,0}k(p)$, where $\overline{\varphi}(N_p, N_{-p}) = k(p)$. Then by (3.1), $\varphi([L_{-p-q}, N_p], N_q) + \varphi([N_p, N_q], L_{-p-q}) + \varphi([N_q, L_{-p-q}], N_p) = 0$, we get

$$pk(q) = qk(p).$$

Let $q = 1$, then $k(p) = pk(1)$. Set $k(1) = k$, then we have

$$\overline{\varphi}(N_m, N_n) = k\delta_{m+n,0}m, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By (3.1), $\varphi([L_0, N_m], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi([N_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}], L_0) + \varphi([Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, L_0], N_m) = 0$, we have

$$(m + n + \frac{1}{2})\varphi(N_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \varphi(L_0, Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\varphi}(N_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \varphi(N_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - f(Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \varphi(N_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{1}{m + n + \frac{1}{2}}\varphi(L_0, Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1), $\varphi([L_0, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi([Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}], L_0) + \varphi([Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, L_0], Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$, we have

$$(m + n + 1)\varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = (m - n)\varphi(L_0, M_{m+n+1}). \quad (3.17)$$

For $m + n + 1 \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\varphi}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - f([Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}]) \\ &= \varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - (m - n)f(M_{m+n+1}) \\ &= \varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{m - n}{m + n + 1}\varphi(L_0, M_{m+n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.17), we have

$$\overline{\varphi}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \quad m + n + 1 \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\varphi}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}) &= \varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}) - f([Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}]) \\ &= \varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}) - (2m+1)f(M_0) \\ &= \varphi(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}) + (2m+1)\varphi(L_1, M_{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

By (3.9), we have

$$\overline{\varphi}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-m-\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

So

$$\overline{\varphi}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, $\overline{\varphi}$ is determined by the following three 2-cocycles

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(L_m, L_n) &= \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12}, \\ \varphi_2(L_m, N_n) &= \delta_{m+n,0} (m^2 - m), \\ \varphi_3(N_m, N_n) &= \delta_{m+n,0} n.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.2. It is referred in [25] that $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$ has three independent classes of central extensions given by the cocycles

$$c_1(L_m, L_n) = \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12}, \quad c_2(L_m, N_n) = \delta_{m+n,0} m^2, \quad c_3(N_m, N_n) = \delta_{m+n,0} m.$$

Here we prove in detail that $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$ has only three independent classes of central extensions.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a perfect Lie algebra, i.e., $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$. $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \pi)$ is called a central extension of \mathfrak{g} if $\pi : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a surjective homomorphism whose kernel lies in the center of the Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The pair $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \pi)$ is called a covering of \mathfrak{g} if $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is perfect. A covering $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \pi)$ is a universal central extension of \mathfrak{g} if for every central extension $(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}', \varphi)$ of \mathfrak{g} there is a unique homomorphism $\psi : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}'$ for which $\varphi\psi = \pi$. In [6], it is proved that every perfect Lie algebra has a universal central extension.

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}} \oplus \mathbb{C} C_L \oplus \mathbb{C} C_{LN} \oplus \mathbb{C} C_N$ be a vector space over the complex field \mathbb{C} with a basis $\{L_n, M_n, N_n, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, C_L, C_{LN}, C_N \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfying the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}[L_m, L_n] &= (n - m)L_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} C_L, \\ [N_m, N_n] &= n\delta_{m+n,0} C_N, \\ [L_m, N_n] &= nN_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} (m^2 - m) C_{LN}, \\ [M_m, M_n] &= 0, \quad [Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (m - n)M_{m+n+1}, \\ [L_m, M_n] &= nM_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (n + \frac{1-m}{2})Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}, \\ [N_m, M_n] &= 2M_{m+n}, \quad [N_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad [M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = 0, \\ [\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}, C_L] &= [\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}, C_{LN}] = [\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}, C_N] = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote

$$H = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} N_n \bigoplus \mathbb{C} C_N, \quad \mathfrak{Vir} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} L_n \bigoplus \mathbb{C} C_L, \quad H_{Vir} = H \bigoplus \mathfrak{Vir} \bigoplus \mathbb{C} C_{LN},$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} M_n \bigoplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}} = H \bigoplus \mathcal{S}.$$

They are all Lie subalgebras of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$, where H is an infinite-dimensional Heisenberg algebra, \mathfrak{Vir} is the classical Virasoro algebra, H_{Vir} is the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, \mathcal{S} is a two-step nilpotent Lie algebra and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the semi-direct product of the Heisenberg algebra H and \mathcal{S} . Then $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is the semi-direct product of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra H_{Vir} and \mathcal{S} , where \mathcal{S} is an ideal of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$.

Corollary 3.3. *$\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$ is the universal covering algebra of the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$.* \square

Set $\deg(C_L) = \deg(C_{LN}) = \deg(C_N) = 0$. Then there is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$ by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{n}{2}} = \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}_n \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}_n &= \text{span}\{L_n, M_n, N_n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}_0 &= \text{span}\{L_0, M_0, N_0, C_L, C_{LN}, C_N\}, \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \text{span}\{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. (cf. [1]) *If \mathfrak{g} is a perfect Lie algebra and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a universal central extension of \mathfrak{g} , then every derivation of \mathfrak{g} lifts to a derivation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. If \mathfrak{g} is centerless, the lift is unique and $\text{Der}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$.* \square

It follows from Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.3 that

$$D(C_L) = D(C_{LN}) = D(C_N) = 0,$$

for all $D \in \widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$. Therefore, $\text{Der}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}) = \text{Inn}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}})$.

4. The Universal Central Extension of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ in the Category of Leibniz Algebras

The concept of Leibniz algebra was first introduced by Jean-Louis Loday in [16] in his study of the so-called Leibniz homology as a noncommutative analog of Lie algebra homology. A vector space \mathcal{L} equipped with a \mathbb{C} -bilinear map $[-, -] : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is called a Leibniz algebra if the following Leibniz identity satisfies

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y], \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (4.1)$$

Lie algebras are definitely Leibniz algebras. A Leibniz algebra \mathcal{L} is a Lie algebra if and only if $[x, x] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$.

In [16], Jean-Louis Loday and Teimuraz Pirashvili established the concept of universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and interpreted the Leibniz (co)homology HL_* (resp. HL^*) as a Tor-functor (resp. Ext-functor). A bilinear \mathbb{C} -valued form ψ on \mathcal{L} is called a Leibniz 2-cocycle if

$$\psi(x, [y, z]) = \psi([x, y], z) - \psi([x, z], y), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (4.2)$$

Similar to the 2-cocycle on Lie algebras, a linear function f on \mathcal{L} can induce a Leibniz 2-cocycle ψ_f , that is,

$$\psi_f(x, y) = f([x, y]), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Such a Leibniz 2-cocycle is called trivial. The one-dimensional Leibniz central extension corresponding to a trivial Leibniz 2-cocycle is also trivial.

In this section, we consider the universal central extension of the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ in the category of Leibniz algebras.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. A bilinear form $f : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called invariant if

$$f([x, y], z) = f(x, [y, z]), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (4.3)$$

Proposition 4.1. *There is no non-trivial invariant bilinear form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$.*

Proof. Let $f : \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{sv}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an invariant bilinear form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$.

(1) For $m \neq 0$, we have

$$f(L_m, L_n) = -\frac{1}{m}f([L_m, L_0], L_n) = -\frac{1}{m}f(L_m, [L_0, L_n]) = -\frac{n}{m}f(L_m, L_n),$$

So

$$f(L_m, L_n) = 0, \quad m + n \neq 0, m \neq 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$f(L_m, N_n) = 0, \quad f(N_m, N_n) = 0, \quad m + n \neq 0, m \neq 0.$$

For $n \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(L_0, L_n) &= \frac{1}{n}f(L_0, [L_0, L_n]) = \frac{1}{n}f([L_0, L_0], L_n) = 0, \\ f(L_{-n}, L_n) &= \frac{1}{3n}f([L_{-2n}, L_n], L_n) = \frac{1}{3n}f(L_{-2n}, [L_n, L_n]) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$f(L_0, N_n) = 0, \quad f(N_0, N_n) = 0, \quad f(L_{-n}, N_n) = 0, \quad f(N_{-n}, N_n) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} f(L_0, L_0) &= \frac{1}{2}f([L_{-1}, L_1], L_0) = \frac{1}{2}f(L_{-1}, [L_1, L_0]) = -\frac{1}{2}f(L_{-1}, L_1) = 0, \\ f(L_0, N_0) &= \frac{1}{2}f([L_{-1}, L_1], N_0) = \frac{1}{2}f(L_{-1}, [L_1, N_0]) = 0, \\ f(N_0, N_0) &= f([L_{-1}, N_1], N_0) = f(L_{-1}, [N_1, N_0]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f(L_m, N_n) = 0, \quad f(N_m, N_n) = 0, \quad f(L_m, L_n) = 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$f(L_m, M_n) = 0, \quad f(M_m, M_n) = 0, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$f(L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(L_m, [L_0, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}]) = \frac{1}{n+\frac{1}{2}} f([L_m, L_0], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = -\frac{m}{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Then $\frac{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$. Obviously, $f(L_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(3) For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(N_m, M_n) &= \frac{1}{2} f(N_m, [N_0, M_n]) = \frac{1}{2} f([N_m, N_0], M_n) = 0, \\ f(N_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) &= f(N_m, [N_0, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}]) = f([N_m, N_0], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \\ f(M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \frac{1}{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(M_m, [L_0, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}]) = -\frac{1}{n+\frac{1}{2}} f([M_m, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}], L_0) = 0, \\ f(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) &= \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} f([L_0, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}], Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{m+\frac{1}{2}} f(L_0, [Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}]) \\ &= \frac{m-n}{m+\frac{1}{2}} f(L_0, M_{m+n+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.2. In fact, it is enough to check that Proposition 4.1 holds for the set of generators $\{L_{-2}, L_{-1}, L_1, L_2, N_1, Y_{\frac{1}{2}}\}$. By the proof of Proposition 4.1, we can see that the process of the computation is independent of the symmetry of the bilinear form. Similar to the method in section 4 in [9], we can deduce that

$$HL^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $HL^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}, \mathbb{C})$ is the second Leibniz cohomology group of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$. That is to say, the universal central extension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ in the category of Leibniz algebras is the same as that in the category of Lie algebras.

5. The Automorphism Group of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$

Denote by $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$ and \mathcal{I} the automorphism group and the inner automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ respectively. Obviously, \mathcal{I} is generated by $\exp(kadM_m + ladY_{n+\frac{1}{2}})$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$.

For convenience, denote

$$L = \text{span}\{L_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad N = \text{span}\{N_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$M = \text{span}\{M_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad Y = \text{span}\{Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$, then*

$$\sigma(M_n) \in M, \quad \sigma(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \in M + Y, \quad \sigma(N_n) \in M + Y + N,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular,

$$\sigma(N_0) = \sum_{i=p}^q a_i M_i + N_0 + \sum_{j=s}^t b_j Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}},$$

for some $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $p, q, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let I be a nontrivial ideal of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$. Then I is a L_0 -module. Since the decomposition of eigenvalue subspace of L_0 is in concordance with the $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$, we have

$$I = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{\frac{n}{2}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}_{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Hence, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $aL_n + bM_n + cN_n \in I$ or $Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \in I$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and not all zero. If $aL_n + bM_n + cN_n \in I$, then

$$[aL_n + bM_n + cN_n, M_0] = 2cM_n \in I, \quad [aL_n + bM_n + cN_n, N_0] = -2bM_n \in I.$$

If $b = c = 0$, then $a \neq 0$ and $L_n \in I$. But $[L_n, \widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}] = \widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have $I = \widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$, a contradiction. So $b \neq 0$ or $c \neq 0$. Therefore, $M_n \in I$, and we have $aL_n + cN_n \in I$. Since $[aL_n + cN_n, N_1] = aN_{n+1} \in I$, we get $N_{n+1} \in I$ if $a \neq 0$.

(1) If there exists some $M_n \in I$, by the fact that $[N_{m-n}, M_n] = 2M_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain $M \subseteq I$.

(2) If there exists some $N_n \in I$ and $n \neq 0$, then $N \subseteq I$ since $[L_{m-n}, N_n] = nN_m \in I$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, we have

$$[N_0, M_m] = 2M_m, \quad [N_0, Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}] = Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $M \subseteq I$, $Y \subseteq I$, and therefore $N \oplus M \oplus Y \subseteq I$.

If $N_0 \in I$, according to the proof above, we have $M \subseteq I, Y \subseteq I$. In addition, $[L, N_0] = 0$ and $[N, N_0] = 0$, so $\mathbb{C}N_0 \oplus M \oplus Y \subseteq I$.

(3) If there exists some $Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \in I$, we have $Y \subseteq I$ since $[N_{m-n}, Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $[Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{\frac{1}{2}}] = mM_{m+1}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $[Y_{1+\frac{1}{2}}, Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}] = 2M_1$, so $M \subseteq I$.

Set $\mathfrak{I}_1 = M$, $\mathfrak{I}_2 = M \oplus Y$, $\mathfrak{I}_3 = M \oplus \mathbb{C}N_0 \oplus Y$, $\mathfrak{I}_4 = M \oplus N \oplus Y$. Then $I = \mathfrak{I}_k$ for some $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Obviously, \mathfrak{I}_1 and \mathfrak{I}_2 both have infinite-dimensional center M , while the center of \mathfrak{I}_3 and \mathfrak{I}_4 are zero, i.e.,

$$C(\mathfrak{I}_1) = C(\mathfrak{I}_2) = M, \quad C(\mathfrak{I}_3) = C(\mathfrak{I}_4) = 0.$$

For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$, $\sigma(I)$ is still a non-trivial ideal of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ and $\sigma(C(I)) = C(\sigma(I))$. Then

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{I}_i) = \mathfrak{I}_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2; \quad \sigma(\mathfrak{I}_k) = \mathfrak{I}_l, \quad k, l = 3, 4.$$

If $\sigma(\mathfrak{J}_1) = \mathfrak{J}_2$, then for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists unique $x_m = \sum a_{m_i} M_{m_i} \in \mathfrak{J}_1$ such that $\sigma(x_m) = Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $(m-n)M_{m+n+1} = 0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is impossible. Therefore,

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{J}_i) = \mathfrak{J}_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\sigma(M_n) \in M, \quad \sigma(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \in M + Y. \quad (5.1)$$

Assume that

$$\sigma(N_0) = \sum a_i M_i + \sum b_j N_j + \sum c_k Y_{k+\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $a_i, b_j, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$. According to (5.1), $\sigma(M_0) \in M$. So there exist some $f(m) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\sigma(M_0) = \sum f(m) M_m$. By $\sigma[N_0, M_0] = [\sigma(N_0), \sigma(M_0)]$, we get

$$\sum_m f(m) M_m = \sum_{m,j} b_j f(m) M_{m+j}. \quad (5.2)$$

Set $p = \min\{m \in \mathbb{Z} | f(m) \neq 0\}$, $q = \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z} | f(m) \neq 0\}$. If $j \neq 0$, we have

$$p + j < p \quad \text{if } j < 0; \quad (\text{resp. } q + j > q \quad \text{if } j > 0).$$

By (5.2), it is easy to see that $b_j f(p) = 0$ (resp. $b_j f(q) = 0$). So $b_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 0$. Then by (5.2), $b_0 = 1$. Therefore,

$$\sigma(N_0) = \sum a_i M_i + N_0 + \sum c_k Y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This forces that $\sigma(\mathfrak{J}_k) = \mathfrak{J}_k$, $k = 3, 4$. □

Lemma 5.2. *For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$, there exist some $\pi \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that*

$$\bar{\sigma}(L_n) = a^n \epsilon L_{\epsilon n} + a^n \lambda N_{\epsilon n}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(N_n) = a^n N_{\epsilon n}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(M_n) = \epsilon d^2 a^{n-1} M_{\epsilon(n-2\lambda)}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = d a^n Y_{\epsilon(n+\frac{1}{2}-\lambda)}, \quad (5.6)$$

where $\bar{\sigma} = \pi^{-1} \sigma$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, d \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Conversely, if $\bar{\sigma}$ is a linear operator on $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ satisfying (5.3)-(5.6) for some $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, d \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, for all $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$, $\sigma(N_0) = \sum_{i=p}^q a_i M_i + N_0 + \sum_{j=s}^t b_j Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ for some $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $p, q, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$\pi = \prod_{j=s}^t \exp(-b_j \text{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{i=p}^q \exp(-\frac{a_i}{2} \text{ad} M_i) \prod_{i,j=s}^t \exp(\frac{i-j}{4} b_i b_j \text{ad} M_{i+j+1}) \in \mathcal{I},$$

then we can deduce that $\sigma(N_0) = \pi(N_0)$, that is,

$$\pi^{-1} \sigma(N_0) = N_0.$$

Set $\bar{\sigma} = \pi^{-1}\sigma$. By $[N_0, \bar{\sigma}(L_m)] = [N_0, \bar{\sigma}(N_m)] = 0$ and $[N_0, \bar{\sigma}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}})] = \bar{\sigma}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}})$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$\bar{\sigma}(L_m) \in L + N, \quad \bar{\sigma}(N_m) \in N, \quad \bar{\sigma}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) \in Y.$$

For any $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{sw})$, denote $\bar{\sigma}|_L = \bar{\sigma}'$. By the automorphisms of the classical Witt algebra, $\bar{\sigma}'(L_m) = \epsilon a^m L_{\epsilon m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}(L_0) &= \epsilon L_0 + \sum \lambda_i N_i, \quad \bar{\sigma}(L_n) = a^n \epsilon L_{\epsilon n} + a^n \sum \lambda(n_i) N_{n_i}, \quad n \neq 0, \\ \bar{\sigma}(N_n) &= a^n \sum \mu(n_j) N_{n_j}, \quad \bar{\sigma}(M_n) = a^n \sum f(n_r) M_{n_r}, \quad \bar{\sigma}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = a^n \sum h(n_t + \frac{1}{2}) Y_{n_t+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where each formula is of finite terms and $\mu(n_j), f(n_r), h(n_t + \frac{1}{2}) \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\lambda(i), \lambda(n_i) \in \mathbb{C}$. From $[\bar{\sigma}(L_0), \bar{\sigma}(M_m)] = m \bar{\sigma}(M_m)$, we have

$$\sum \epsilon m_r f(m_r) M_{m_r} + 2 \sum \lambda_i f(m_r) M_{i+m_r} = m \sum f(m_r) M_{m_r}.$$

This forces that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ and $\epsilon m_r + 2\lambda_0 = m$. So $m_r = \epsilon(m - 2\lambda_0)$ and

$$\bar{\sigma}(L_0) = \epsilon L_0 + \lambda_0 N_0, \quad \bar{\sigma}(M_n) = a^n f(\epsilon(n - 2\lambda_0)) M_{\epsilon(n-2\lambda_0)},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. From $[\bar{\sigma}(L_n), \bar{\sigma}(M_0)] = 0$, we get

$$\lambda_0 M_{\epsilon n - 2\epsilon\lambda_0} = \sum \lambda_{n_i} M_{n_i - 2\epsilon\lambda_0}.$$

Then $n_i = \epsilon n$ and $\lambda_{\epsilon n} = \lambda_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore,

$$\bar{\sigma}(L_n) = a^n \epsilon L_{\epsilon n} + a^n \lambda_0 N_{\epsilon n}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $[\bar{\sigma}(L_0), \bar{\sigma}(N_n)] = n \bar{\sigma}(N_n)$, we have $\sum (\epsilon n_j - n) \mu(n_j) N_{n_j} = 0$. Obviously, $n_j = \epsilon n$ and

$$\bar{\sigma}(N_n) = a^n \mu(\epsilon n) N_{\epsilon n},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mu(0) = 1$. Comparing the coefficients of $Y_{n_t+\frac{1}{2}}$ on the both sides of $[\bar{\sigma}(L_0), \bar{\sigma}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}})] = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \bar{\sigma}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}})$, we obtain $n_t + \frac{1}{2} = \epsilon(n + \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_0)$, which implies that $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. So

$$\bar{\sigma}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = a^n h(\epsilon(n + \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_0)) Y_{\epsilon(n+\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_0)}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By $[\bar{\sigma}(N_n), \bar{\sigma}(M_m)] = 2 \bar{\sigma}(M_{m+n})$, we get

$$\mu(\epsilon n) f(\epsilon(m - 2\lambda_0)) = f(\epsilon(m + n - 2\lambda_0)).$$

Letting $m = 2\lambda_0$, we obtain

$$f(\epsilon n) = f(0) \mu(\epsilon n).$$

By the coefficients of $Y_{\epsilon(m+n+\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_0)}$ on the both sides of $[\bar{\sigma}(N_m), \bar{\sigma}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}})] = \bar{\sigma}(Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}})$, we have

$$h(\epsilon(m + \frac{1}{2})) = \mu(\epsilon m) h(\frac{\epsilon}{2}).$$

Similarly, comparing the coefficients of $N_{\epsilon(m+n)}$ on the both sides of $[\bar{\sigma}(L_n), \bar{\sigma}(N_m)] = m\bar{\sigma}(N_{m+n})$, we have $m\mu(\epsilon m) = m\mu(\epsilon(m+n))$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\mu(\epsilon m) = \mu(0) = 1,$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore,

$$f(\epsilon m) = f(0), \quad h(\epsilon(m + \frac{1}{2})) = h(\frac{\epsilon}{2}).$$

Finally, we deduce that $\epsilon h(\frac{\epsilon}{2})^2 = af(0)$ by comparing the coefficient of $M_{\epsilon(m+n+1-2\lambda_0)}$ on the both sides of $[\bar{\sigma}(Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}}), \bar{\sigma}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}})] = (m-n)\bar{\sigma}(M_{m+n+1})$. Let $d = h(\frac{\epsilon}{2})$, then $f(0) = \epsilon a^{-1}d^2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}(L_n) &= a^n \epsilon L_{\epsilon n} + a^n \lambda_0 N_{\epsilon n}, & \bar{\sigma}(N_n) &= a^n N_{\epsilon n}, \\ \bar{\sigma}(M_n) &= \epsilon d^2 a^{n-1} M_{\epsilon(n-2\lambda_0)}, & \bar{\sigma}(Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) &= da^n Y_{\epsilon(n+\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check the converse part of the theorem. □

Denote by $\bar{\sigma}(\epsilon, \lambda, a, d)$ the automorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ satisfying (5.3)-(5.6), then

$$\bar{\sigma}(\epsilon_1, \lambda_1, a_1, d_1) \bar{\sigma}(\epsilon_2, \lambda_2, a_2, d_2) = \bar{\sigma}(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 \lambda_1 + \lambda_2, a_1^{\epsilon_2} a_2, d_1 d_2 a_1^{\frac{\epsilon_2-1}{2}-\epsilon_2 \lambda_2}), \quad (5.7)$$

and $\bar{\sigma}(\epsilon_1, \lambda_1, a_1, d_1) = \bar{\sigma}(\epsilon_2, \lambda_2, a_2, d_2)$ if and only if $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2, a_1 = a_2, d_1 = d_2$. Let

$$\bar{\pi}_\epsilon = \bar{\sigma}(\epsilon, 0, 1, 1), \quad \bar{\sigma}_\lambda = (1, \lambda, 1, 1), \quad \bar{\sigma}_{a,d} = (1, 0, a, d)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{a} = \{\bar{\pi}_\epsilon \mid \epsilon = \pm 1\}, \quad \mathfrak{t} = \{\bar{\sigma}_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \mathfrak{b} = \{\bar{\sigma}_{a,d} \mid a, d \in \mathbb{C}^*\}.$$

By (5.7), we have the following relations:

$$\bar{\sigma}(\epsilon, \lambda, a, d) = \bar{\sigma}(\epsilon, 0, 1, 1) \bar{\sigma}(1, \lambda, 1, 1) \bar{\sigma}(1, 0, a, d) \in \mathfrak{atb},$$

$$\bar{\sigma}(\epsilon, \lambda, a, d)^{-1} = \bar{\sigma}(\epsilon, -\epsilon \lambda, a^{-\epsilon}, d^{-1} a^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}-\lambda}),$$

$$\bar{\pi}_{\epsilon_1} \bar{\pi}_{\epsilon_2} = \bar{\pi}_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_{\lambda_1} \bar{\sigma}_{\lambda_2} = \bar{\sigma}_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_{a_1, d_1} \bar{\sigma}_{a_2, d_2} = \bar{\sigma}_{a_1 a_2, d_1 d_2},$$

$$\bar{\pi}_\epsilon^{-1} \bar{\sigma}_\lambda \bar{\pi}_\epsilon = \bar{\sigma}_{\epsilon \lambda}, \quad \bar{\pi}_\epsilon^{-1} \bar{\sigma}_{a,d} \bar{\pi}_\epsilon = \bar{\sigma}_{a^\epsilon, da^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{2}}}, \quad \bar{\sigma}_\lambda^{-1} \bar{\sigma}_{a,d} \bar{\sigma}_\lambda = \bar{\sigma}_{a, da^{-\lambda}}.$$

Hence, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 5.3. $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{t}$ and \mathfrak{b} are all subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})$ and

$$\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) = \mathcal{I} \rtimes ((\mathfrak{a} \ltimes \mathfrak{t}) \ltimes \mathfrak{b}),$$

where $\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}, \mathfrak{t} \cong \mathbb{Z}, \mathfrak{b} \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$. □

Let $\mathbb{C}^\infty = \{(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ all but a finite of the } a_i \text{ are zero}\}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$ a subgroup of \mathcal{I} generated by $\{\exp(k \operatorname{ad} M_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$ the quotient group of \mathcal{I} . Then \mathbb{C}^∞ is an abelian group and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an abelian normal subgroup of \mathcal{I} . As a matter of fact, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the center of the group \mathcal{I} .

Note $(\operatorname{ad} M_i)^2 = (\operatorname{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}})^3 = \operatorname{ad} M_i \operatorname{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = \operatorname{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{ad} M_i = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\exp(\alpha \operatorname{ad} M_i) = 1 + \alpha \operatorname{ad} M_i,$$

$$\exp(\beta \operatorname{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = 1 + \beta \operatorname{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 (\operatorname{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}})^2,$$

$$\exp(\alpha \operatorname{ad} M_i) \exp(\beta \operatorname{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = \exp(\beta \operatorname{ad} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) + \alpha \operatorname{ad} M_i,$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(b_{m_1} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_1+\frac{1}{2}}) \exp(b_{m_2} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_2+\frac{1}{2}}) \cdots \exp(b_{m_t} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_t+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^t b_{m_k} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_k+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{b_{m_k}^2}{2} (\operatorname{ad} Y_{m_k+\frac{1}{2}})^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} b_{m_i} b_{m_j} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_i+\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_j+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^t b_{m_k} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} b_{m_i} b_{m_j} (\operatorname{ad} Y_{m_i+\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_j+\frac{1}{2}} - \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_j+\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_i+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^t b_{m_k} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \frac{m_i - m_j}{2} b_{m_i} b_{m_j} \operatorname{ad} M_{m_i+m_j+1} \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^t b_{m_k} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \frac{m_i - m_j}{2} b_{m_i} b_{m_j} \operatorname{ad} M_{m_i+m_j+1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}, b_{m_k} \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq k \leq t$. Therefore,

$$\exp(b_{m_1} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_1+\frac{1}{2}}) \exp(b_{m_2} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_2+\frac{1}{2}}) \cdots \exp(b_{m_t} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_t+\frac{1}{2}}) \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}} = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^t b_{m_k} \operatorname{ad} Y_{m_k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}. \quad (5.8)$$

Lemma 5.4. $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$ are isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^∞ .

Proof. Define $f : \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\infty$ by

$$f\left(\prod_{i=1}^s \exp(\alpha_{k_i} \operatorname{ad} M_{k_i})\right) = (a_p)_{p \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

where $a_{k_i} = \alpha_{k_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, and the others are zero, $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_s$. Since every element of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$ has the unique form of $\prod_{i=1}^s \exp(\alpha_{k_i} \operatorname{ad} M_{k_i})$, it is easy to check that f is an isomorphism of group.

Similar to the proof above, we have $\bar{\mathcal{I}} \cong \mathbb{C}^\infty$ via (5.8). \square

Theorem 5.5. $Aut(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) = (\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}} \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{I}}) \rtimes ((\mathfrak{a} \ltimes \mathfrak{t}) \ltimes \mathfrak{b}) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\infty \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\infty) \rtimes ((\mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes (\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*))$. \square

Lemma 5.6. (cf. [21]) *Let \mathfrak{g} be a perfect Lie algebra and let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be its universal covering algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then every automorphism σ of \mathfrak{g} admits a unique extension $\widetilde{\sigma}$ to an automorphism of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Furthermore, the map $\sigma \mapsto \widetilde{\sigma}$ is a group monomorphism.*

We will use Lemma 5.6 to obtain all the automorphisms of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$ from those of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$.

For a perfect Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , its universal covering algebra is constructed as follows in [21]. Let $V = \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} / J$, where

$$J = \text{span}\{x \wedge [y, z] + y \wedge [z, x] + z \wedge [x, y] \mid x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

is a subspace of $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}$. Then there is a natural Lie algebra structure in the space $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ with the following bracket

$$[x + u, y + v] = [x, y] + x \vee y,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u, v \in V$, where $x \vee y$ is the image of $x \wedge y$ in V under the canonical morphism $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow V$. Then the derived algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = [\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}]$ of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the universal central extension of \mathfrak{g} . In face, given $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ there exists $c \in V$ such that $x + c \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then the canonical map $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is onto with kernel $\mathfrak{c} \subset V$ and the resulting central extension

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{c} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

of \mathfrak{g} is universal in the sense that there exists a unique morphism from it into any other given central extension of \mathfrak{g} .

For any $\theta \in Aut(\mathfrak{g})$, θ induces an automorphism θ_V of V via

$$\theta_V(x \vee y) = \theta(x) \vee \theta(y),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Obviously, θ extends to an automorphism $\theta_{\mathfrak{c}}$ of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$\theta_{\mathfrak{c}}(x + v) = \theta(x) + \theta_V(v),$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V$. By restriction, $\theta_{\mathfrak{c}}$ induces an automorphism $\widetilde{\theta}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

In the following section, we will describe the automorphism group of the universal central extension of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$ using the above method. Firstly, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.7. *In $V = \Lambda^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}})/J$, we have the following relations for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
L_m \vee L_n &= \frac{n-m}{m+n} L_0 \vee L_{m+n}, \quad m+n \neq 0; \\
L_m \vee L_{-m} &= \frac{m^3-m}{6} L_2 \vee L_{-2}; \\
L_m \vee N_n &= \frac{n}{m+n} L_0 \vee N_{m+n}, \quad m+n \neq 0; \\
L_m \vee N_{-m} &= \frac{m^2+m}{2} (L_1 \vee N_{-1} + L_{-1} \vee N_1) - mL_{-1} \vee N_1; \\
L_m \vee M_n &= \frac{n}{2} N_0 \vee M_{m+n}; \quad L_m \vee Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = (n + \frac{1-m}{2}) N_0 \vee Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}; \\
N_m \vee N_n &= m\delta_{m+n,0} N_1 \vee N_{-1}; \quad N_m \vee M_n = N_0 \vee M_{m+n}; \quad N_m \vee Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = N_0 \vee Y_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}; \\
M_m \vee M_n &= M_m \vee Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 0; \quad Y_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \vee Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{m-n}{2} N_0 \vee M_{m+n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using Lemma 5.7, we have the following result.

Lemma 5.8. *The universal central extension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{sv}}$, denoted by $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$, has a basis $\{L'_n, M'_n, N'_n, Y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, C_L, C_{LN}, C_N \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with the following products:*

$$\begin{aligned}
[L'_m, L'_n] &= (n-m)L'_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3-m}{12} C_L, \\
[N'_m, N'_n] &= n\delta_{m+n,0} C_N, \\
[L'_m, N'_n] &= nN'_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} (n^2-n) C_{LN}, \\
[M'_m, M'_n] &= 0, \quad [Y'_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, Y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (m-n)M'_{m+n+1}, \\
[L'_m, M'_n] &= nM'_{m+n}, \quad [L'_m, Y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = (n + \frac{1-m}{2}) Y'_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}, \\
[N'_m, M'_n] &= 2M'_{m+n}, \quad [N'_m, Y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = Y'_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad [M'_m, Y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}}] = 0, \\
[\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}, C_L] &= [\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}, C_{LN}] = [\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}, C_N] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L'_0 &= L_0, \quad N'_0 = N_0 + L_{-1} \vee N_1; \\
L'_m &= L_m + \frac{1}{m} L_0 \vee L_m, \quad N'_m = N_m + \frac{1}{m} L_0 \vee N_m, \quad m \neq 0; \\
M'_n &= M_n + \frac{1}{2} N_0 \vee M_n, \quad Y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + N_0 \vee Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \\
C_L &= 2L_2 \vee L_{-2}, \quad C_{LN} = \frac{1}{2} (L_1 \vee N_{-1} + L_{-1} \vee N_1), \quad C_N = N_{-1} \vee N_1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.9. *For any $\tilde{\theta} \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}})/\mathcal{I}$, we have*

$$\tilde{\theta}(L'_n) = a^n \epsilon L'_{\epsilon n} + a^n \lambda N'_{\epsilon n} - \lambda \delta_{n,0} C_{LN} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \lambda^2 \delta_{n,0} C_N, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}(N'_n) = a^n N'_{\epsilon n} + (\epsilon - 1) \delta_{n,0} C_{LN} + \epsilon \lambda \delta_{n,0} C_N, \quad (5.10)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}(M'_n) = \epsilon d^2 a^{n-1} M'_{\epsilon(n-2\lambda)}, \quad (5.11)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}(Y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = d a^n Y'_{\epsilon(n+\frac{1}{2}-\lambda)}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}(C_L) = \epsilon C_L, \quad \tilde{\theta}(C_{LN}) = \epsilon C_{LN}, \quad \tilde{\theta}(C_N) = \epsilon C_N, \quad (5.13)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, d \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Conversely, if $\tilde{\theta}$ is a linear operator on $\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}}$ satisfying (5.9)-(5.13) for some $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, d \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then $\tilde{\theta} \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}})$. \square

From the above lemmas and Theorem 5.5, we obtain the last main theorem.

Theorem 5.10. $\text{Aut}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sv}}) \cong \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sv}})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. Benkart, R. V. Moody, *Derivations, central extensions and affine Lie algebras*, Algebras Groups Geom. **3** (1986), no. 4, 456-492.
- [2] Y. Billig, *Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero.*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, **46** (2003), 529-537.
- [3] Y. Billig, *Representations of toroidal extended affine Lie algebras*, J. Alg., **308** (2007), no. 1, 252-269.
- [4] D. Ž. Doković, K. Zhao, *Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Witt algebras*, Tran. Ams. Math. Soc. **350**(2) (1998), 643-664.
- [5] R. Farnsteiner, *Derivations and extensions of finitely generated graded Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **118** (1) (1988), 34-45.
- [6] H. Garland, *The arithmetic theory of loop groups*, Publ.Math.IHES **52** (1980), 5-136.
- [7] S. Gao, C. Jiang, Y. Pei *Representations of the the extended Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra*, in preparation.
- [8] M. Henkel, *Schroedinger invariance and strongly anisotropic critical systems*, J. Stat. Phys. **75**, 1023 (1994), hep-th/9310081.
- [9] N. Hu, Y. Pei, D. Liu, *A cohomological characterization of Leibniz central extensions of Lie algebras*, To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. math.QA/0605399
- [10] N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras*, Wiley-interscience, New York, 1962.
- [11] Q. Jiang, C. Jiang, *Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and the full toroidal Lie algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **14**(1), (2007), 117-134.
- [12] C. Jiang, D. Meng, *The derivation algebra of the associative algebra $C_q[X, Y, X^{-1}, Y^{-1}]$* , Comm. Algebra **6** (1998), 1723-1736.
- [13] C. Jiang, D. Meng, S. Zhang, *Some complete Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **186** (1996), 807-817.
- [14] I. Kaplansky, *The Virasoro algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. **86** (1982), 49-54.
- [15] D. Liu, C. Jiang, *The generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra*, arXiv:math/0510543v3 [math.RT]
- [16] J. L. Loday, T. Pirashvili, *Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)-homology*, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), 138-158.

- [17] W. Li, R. Wilson, *Central extensions of some Lie algebras*, Pro. Ams. Math. Soc. **126**(9) (1998), 2569-2577.
- [18] O. Mathieu, *Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), 225-234.
- [19] D. J. Meng, L. S. Zhu, *Solvable complete Lie algebras I*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), 4181-4197.
- [20] D. Meng, L. Zhu, C. Jiang, *Complete Lie algebras*, Science Press, 2001, in Chinese.
- [21] A. Pianzola, *Automorphisms of toroidal Lie algebras and their central quotients*, J. Algebra Appl. **1** (2002), no. 1, 113-121.
- [22] C. Roger, J. Unterberger, *The Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie group and algebra: Representation theory and cohomological study*, Annales Henri Poincaré **(7-8)** (2006), 1477-1529.
- [23] Y. Su, *2-cocycles on the Lie algebras of generalized differential operators*, Comm. Alg. **(30)** (2002), 763-782.
- [24] R. Shen, C. Jiang, *The derivation algebra and automorphism group of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra*, Comm. Alg. **34 (7)** (2006), 2547-2558.
- [25] J. Unterberger, *On vertex algebra representations of the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra*, arXiv:cond-mat/0703214v2.
- [26] L. Zhu, D. Meng, *Complete Lie algebras II*, Algebra Colloq. **5** (1998), 289-296.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, CHINA
E-mail address: gaoshoulan@sjtu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, CHINA
E-mail address: cpjiang@sjtu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, CHINA
E-mail address: yfpei@sjtu.edu.cn